

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/643,603	(	08/18/2003	Rashid Ahmed Attar	030279	5061	
23696	7590	06/19/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
QUALCON	•		ORGAD,	ORGAD, EDAN		
5775 MORE SAN DIEGO				ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
				2618		
				DATE MAILED: 06/19/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/643,603	ATTAR ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Edan Orgad	2618			
The MAILING DATE of the Period for Reply	his communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
• •	DEDIOD FOR DEDIV	IO OFT TO EVEIDE AMOUNT I	(O) OD TUUDTU (OO) DAY (O			
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FR - Extensions of time may be available under after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing of the second of the s	ROM THE MAILING DA er the provisions of 37 CFR 1.130 late of this communication. the maximum statutory period with disperiod for reply will, by statute, on three months after the mailing	IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH( TE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin Il apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE date of this communication, even if timely filed	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1) Responsive to communic	cation(s) filed on 18 Au	gust 2003.				
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> .	2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is i	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance wit	h the practice under Ex	k parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are pend	ding in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s)	- ,,	n from consideration.				
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are allow						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>14-24</u> is/are rejo	ected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are ob	jected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject	ect to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is object	ted to by the Examiner					
· <u> </u>		epted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.			
		rawing(s) be held in abeyance. See				
Replacement drawing shee	t(s) including the correction	on is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is	objected to by the Exa	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
_		oriority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	)-(d) or (f).			
a) All b) Some * c) ☐ 1. Certified copies of		have been received				
		have been received in Applicati	on No			
		ty documents have been receive				
•	e International Bureau		, and managed			
• •		of the certified copies not receive	ed.			
Attachment(s)						
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-89.</li> <li>D Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw</li> </ol>		4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s)		5) D Notice of Informal P	Patent Application (PTO-152)			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date		6)				

Application/Control Number: 10/643,603

Art Unit: 2618

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 14-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. Claims are made to a computer program product comprising instructions but there is no explicit and deliberate definition in the specification that the product includes a computer readable medium. Therefore, the computer program product would reasonably be interpreted by one of ordinary skill in the art as descriptive material, per se.

Consequently, claim 14 and its dependents are considered non-statutory.

### Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-13 are allowed.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

Regarding claim 1, the prior art of record fails to specifically disclose 1. A method of allocating power to remote station specific control channels, the method comprising: A) sorting a plurality of access terminals in an order of increasing required medium access control (MAC) channel power into a plurality of bins; B) if two or more access terminals have equal required MAC channel power, sorting the access terminals with equal required MAC channel power in an order of decreasing forward link signal to interference and noise ratio (FL\_SINR); C) determining total available ARQ power based upon total MAC channel power, total power allocated to reverse power control (RPC) channels, and total power allocated to reverse activity

Art Unit: 2618

bit (RAB) channels; D) comparing the total available ARQ power to total required ARQ power of the access terminals; and E) if the total available ARQ power is less than the total required ARQ power of the access terminals in response to step D), a) reducing power allocation to users in one of the bins with highest required ARQ power in a predetermined increment until a predetermined maximum reduction is reached; b) reducing power allocation to users in each of remaining ones of the bins in a decreasing order of required ARQ power in the predetermined increment until a predetermined maximum reduction is achieved; and c) if the total available ARQ power is less than the total required ARQ power, repeating steps a) and b) until the total available ARQ power is greater than or equal to the total required ARQ power.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

See attached PTO-892.

Art Unit: 2618

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Edan Orgad whose telephone number is 571-272-7884. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00AM to 5:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward Urban can be reached on 571-272-7899. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Edan Orgad

Primary Patent Examiner Telecommunications.